		Case 11-11249-bam Doc 25 Entered	02/24/11 12:47:35 Page 1 of 13	
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Zachariah Larson, Esq. (NV Bar No. 7787) Shara Larson, Esq. (NV Bar No. 7786) LARSON & STEPHENS 810 S. Casino Center Blvd., Ste. 104 Las Vegas, NV 89101 Telephone: 702/382.1170 Facsimile: 702/382.1169 Email: zlarson@lslawnv.com Proposed Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors in Possession IN THE UNITED STATE	E-Filed on: 2-24-2011 ES BANKRUPTCY COURT	
	9	FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
	10	In re:	Case No.: BK-S-11-11249-BAM (Jointly Administered with Case No.:	
	11	NICK3, LLC,	BK-S-11-11252-BAM, BK-S-11-11253-BAM)	
04 .1169	12	Debtor	Chapter 11	
LARSON & STEPHENS 810 S. Casino Center Blvd., Suite 104 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Tel: (702) 382-1170 Fax: (702) 382-1169	13	NASDAQ5, LLC,		
	14	Debtor		
	15 16 17	ALEC4, LLC, Debtor	Hearing Date: 3-29-2011 Hearing Time: 10:00 AM Courtroom 3	
	18	Affects:		
	19	All Debtors		
1	20	Affects the following Debtor(s)		
	21	DERTOR'S MOTION FOR AN OR	DED LINDED SECTION 266 OF THE	
	22	DEBTOR'S MOTION FOR AN ORDER UNDER SECTION 366 OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE (A) PROHIBITING UTILITY PROVIDERS FROM		
	23	ALTERING, REFUSING, OR DISCONTINUING SERVICE, (B) DEEMING UTILITIES ADEQUATELY ASSURED OF FUTURE PERFORMANCE, AND		
	24	(C) ESTABLISHING PROCEDURES FOR DETERMINING		
	25	REQUESTS FOR ADDITIONAL ADEQUATE ASSURANCE		
	26	Nick3, LLC, Nasdaq5, LLC and Alec4, LLC, debtors and debtors in possession		
	27	(collectively the "Debtors"), respectfully request this Court for an order (A) prohibiting utility		
	28	providers from altering, refusing, or discontinuing service, (B) deeming utilities adequately		

LARSON & STEPHENS 810 S. Casino Center Blvd., Suite 104 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Tel: (702) 382-1170 Fax: (702) 382-1169

Case 11-11249-bam Doc 25 Entered 02/24/11 12:47:35 Page 2 of 13

assured of future performance, and (C) establishing procedures for determining requests for additional adequate assurance (the "Motion"). This Motion is supported by the accompanying "Memorandum of Points and Authorities" and the "Declaration of Chun Leon Chen in Support of the Motion herein (the "Chen Declaration") filed today.

I.

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

A. General Background

On January 28, 2011, the above-captioned Debtors filed voluntary petitions for relief under chapter 11 of title 11, United States Bankruptcy Code (the "Bankruptcy Code"). The Debtors are continuing in possession of their property and are operating and managing their businesses, as debtors in possession, pursuant to sections 1107 and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code.

No creditors' committee has yet been appointed in this case by the United States Trustee.

The Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 USC 157 and 1334. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 USC 1408 and 1409. This matter is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 USC 157(b)(2).

The Debtors have filed a motion with this Court pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 1015(b) seeking joint administration of the Debtors' estates and the Motion was heard on an Order Shortening Time on February 14, 2011 and the Order has been lodged with the Court but not yet entered.

The statutory predicates for the relief requested herein are sections 105(a) and 366 of the Bankruptcy Code.

///

27 ||///

||///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Tel: (702) 382-1170 Fax: (702) 382-1169 12 13 14 15 16 17 18

В. **Background Related to Utilities**

In the normal course of business, the Debtors have relationships with various utility companies and other providers for the provision of telephone, telecommunications, and related or other services (the "Utility Services"). The utility companies and providers the Debtors intend to use after the Petition Date (each a "Utility Provider" and, collectively, the "Utility Providers") are set forth on the list attached hereto as Exhibit A. The Debtors estimate that their average monthly postpetition payments to the Utility Providers will aggregate approximately \$2,900.35.

Because uninterrupted Utility Services are critical to the Debtors' ongoing operations, the Debtors, by this Motion and pursuant to sections 105(a) and 366 of the Bankruptcy Code, seek the entry of an order: (a) prohibiting the Utility Providers from altering, refusing, or discontinuing services; (b) deeming Utility Providers adequately assured of future payment; and (c) establishing procedures for determining adequate assurance of future payment.

In order to provide adequate assurance of payment for future services to the Utility Providers, the Debtors propose to make a deposit (a "Utility Deposit") equal to 50% of the Debtors' estimated cost of their monthly utility consumption less any deposit held by the Utility Providers, as provided in Exhibit A, to each Utility Provider that the Debtors intend to continue to utilize during the course of these Cases.² The Debtors estimate that the Utility Deposits, in the aggregate, will total approximately \$1,450.18. The Debtors propose to make Utility Deposits to

The listing of any entity on Exhibit A hereto is not an admission that any listed entity is a utility within the meaning of section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors reserve the right to assert at any time that any entity listed on Exhibit A is not entitled to adequate assurance pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 366. The Debtors further reserve the right to terminate the services of any Utility Provider at any time and to seek an immediate refund of any Utility Deposit without giving effect to any right of setoff or claim asserted by a Utility Provider against the Debtors.

² As noted, the listing of any entity on Exhibit A hereto is not an admission that such entity is a utility within the meaning of section 366. Accordingly, the Debtors reserve the right to assert at any time that any entity listed on Exhibit A is not entitled to any deposit or other adequate assurance under section 366.

Case 11-11249-bam Doc 25 Entered 02/24/11 12:47:35 Page 4 of 13

Tel: (702) 382-1170 Fax: (702) 382-1169

810 S. Casino Center Blvd., Suite 104

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

LARSON & STEPHENS

each of the Utility Providers specified on Exhibit A hereto within ten (10) days after the entry of an interim order granting this Motion, pending further order of the Court, for the purpose of providing each Utility Provider with adequate assurance of payment of its postpetition services to the Debtors.

At this critical time, and given the nature of the Debtors' business, uninterrupted electricity, gas, water, garbage service and local and long distance telephone services are essential to the ongoing operations of the Debtors' business and to the preservation of the value thereof. Any interruption, however brief, in Utility Services to the Debtors will irreparably disrupt the Debtors' operations and ability to liquidate their assets in an orderly manner.

The Debtors have and will have adequate cash to meet all of their necessary postpetition operating expenses on a current basis, including payments to the Utility Providers. The Debtors have specifically included in their budget amounts for payments to Utility Providers, including the payment of the Utility Deposits.

C. The Debtors' Proposed Adequate Assurance Procedures

In addition, the Debtors seek to establish reasonable procedures (the "Procedures") by which a Utility Provider may request additional adequate assurance of future payment, in the event that such Utility Provider believes that its Utility Deposit does not provide it with satisfactory adequate assurance. Such Procedures, in particular, would provide that:

a. If a Utility Provider is not satisfied with the assurance of future payment provided by the Debtors' pursuant to the proposed Utility Deposit, the Utility Provider must serve a written request (the "Request") upon the Debtors setting forth the location(s) for which Utility Services are provided, the account number(s) for such location(s), the outstanding balance for each account, a summary of the Debtors' monthly historical utility use over the past six months on each account, and an explanation of why the Utility Deposit is inadequate assurance of payment;

Case 11-11249-bam Doc 25 Entered 02/24/11 12:47:35 Page 5 of 13

- b. The Request must be actually received by the Debtors and Debtors' counsel, Zachariah Larson, Esq., Larson & Stephens, 801 S. Casino Center Blvd. #104, Las Vegas, Nevada 89101, within forty-five (45) days of the date of the interim order granting this Motion (the "Request Deadline");
- c. Without further order of the Court, the Debtors may enter into agreements granting additional adequate assurance to a Utility Provider serving a timely Request, if the Debtors, in their discretion, determine that the Request is reasonable;
- d. If the Debtors believe that a Request is unreasonable, then the Debtors shall, within thirty (30) days after the Request Deadline date, file a motion pursuant to section 366(c)(2) of the Bankruptcy Code (a "Determination Motion"), seeking a determination from the Court that the Utility Deposit, plus any additional consideration offered by the Debtors, constitute adequate assurance of payment. Pending notice and a hearing on the Determination Motion, the Utility Provider that is the subject of the unresolved Request may not alter, refuse, or discontinue services to the Debtors nor recover or setoff against a pre-petition date deposit; and
- e. Any Utility Provider that fails to make a timely Request shall be deemed to be satisfied that the Utility Deposit provided to it constitutes adequate assurance of payment.

If the Debtors supplement the list in <u>Exhibit A</u> hereto subsequent to the filing of this Motion, the Debtors will serve a copy of this Motion and the signed order granting the Motion (the "Order") on any Utility Provider that is added to the list by such a supplement (the "Supplemental Service"). In addition, the Debtors will also provide a Utility Deposit in the amount of 50% of the estimated cost of monthly utility consumption for the added Utility Provider less any deposit already held by the Utility Provider. Concurrently with the Supplemental Service, the Debtors will file with the Court a supplement to <u>Exhibit A</u> adding the name of the Utility Provider so served. The added Utility Provider shall have thirty (30) days from the date of service of this Motion and the Order to make a Request.

Case 11-11249-bam Doc 25 Entered 02/24/11 12:47:35 Page 6 of 13

Fax: (702) 382-1169 810 S. Casino Center Blvd., Suite 104 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Tel: (702) 382-1170

LARSON & STEPHENS

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Finally, the Order provides that the Debtors may terminate the services of any Utility Provider by providing written notice (a "Termination Notice"). Upon receipt of a Termination Notice by a Utility Provider, pursuant to the relief requested by the Debtors herein, the Utility Provider shall immediately refund any Utility Deposit to the Debtors, without giving effect to any rights of setoff or any claims the Utility Provider may assert against the Debtors. The Debtors believe that the immediate refund of a Utility Deposit by a Utility Provider whose services are terminated is fair and appropriate under the circumstances because the Utility Provider would no longer require adequate assurance of future payment by the Debtors.

D. **Basis For Relief**

Such relief is necessary because uninterrupted utility services are critical to Debtors' ability to sustain its operations and achieve a successful reorganization. If utility companies cease providing service to Debtors, Debtors' business will be severely damaged, thus jeopardizing the value of their assets and the ability to successfully reorganize. Moreover, the utility companies will not suffer any tangible economic harm as a result of the relief requested herein; Debtors will compensate the utility companies in full for any post-petition services they provide.

Although the Debtors anticipate that the cash flow from their ongoing business operations and additional post-petition financing provided by their prepetition lenders will be sufficient to allow them to satisfy all administrative expenses, including post-petition utility bills, on a current and ongoing basis, the Debtors are proposing to establish the Utility Deposit Account to provide the Utilities with adequate assurance of payment for their services. The Debtors recognize, however, that certain utilities may not be satisfied that the Utility Deposit Account provides them with adequate assurance of payment. The proposed Procedures outlined above provide a fair,

Case 11-11249-bam Doc 25 Entered 02/24/11 12:47:35 Page 7 of 13

Tel: (702) 382-1170 Fax: (702) 382-1169

810 S. Casino Center Blvd., Suite 104

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

LARSON & STEPHENS

reasonable, and orderly mechanism for the Utilities to seek additional adequate assurance while temporarily maintaining the status quo for the Debtors and for the benefit of all stakeholders.

The Debtors also propose to serve a copy of the order on all Utilities listed on Exhibit "A" attached hereto, and, if additional Utilities are subsequently identified, on such subsequently identified Utilities. The Debtors also propose that any Utility not currently listed on Exhibit "A", but subsequently identified and served by the Debtors with a copy of the order, be afforded thirty (30) days from the date of such service to make a request, if any, to the Debtors for additional adequate assurance of payment. Currently with such service, the Debtors will file with the Court a supplement to Exhibit "A" adding the name of any Utilities so served. Finally, the Debtors propose they would increase the amount of funds in the Utility Deposit Account to reflect any new information as to such Utility and the average estimated monthly charges for post-petition services provided by such Utility.

Π.

APPLICABLE AUTHORITY

Section 366(b) of the Bankruptcy Code protects Debtors against immediate termination of utility services after commencing its case. Under that Bankruptcy Code section, a utility may not, during the first 20 days of the case, alter, refuse, or discontinue service to a debtor in a chapter 11 case solely because of unpaid pre-petition amounts, but the utility may do so thereafter unless the debtor furnishes "adequate assurance" of payment, in the form of a deposit or otherwise, for post-petition services in a form "satisfactory" to the utility.

Prior to the enactment of the Bankruptcy Abuse Prevention and Consumer Protection Act of 2005, courts, commentators, and legislative history had all confirmed that section 366 does not require, in every case, that the debtor provide a deposit or other security to its utilities as adequate assurance of payment. In *Virginia Electric & Power Co. v. Caldor, Inc. – New York*,

LARSON & STEPHENS 810 S. Casino Center Blvd., Suite 104 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Tel: (702) 382-1170 Fax: (702) 382-1169

Case 11-11249-bam Doc 25 Entered 02/24/11 12:47:35 Page 8 of 13

117 F.3d 646 (2nd Cir. 1997), the United States Court of Appeals for the Second circuit affirmed the Bankruptcy Court's ruling that the debtor's pre-petition payment history, its post-petition liquidity, and the administrative expense priority treatment afforded post-petition invoices constituted adequate assurance of future performance. <u>Id</u>. At 647. The court rejected the argument that section 366(b) nevertheless requires a "deposit or other security".

[A] bankruptcy court's authority to "modify" the level of the "deposit or other security", provided for under [section] 366(b), includes the power to require no "deposit or other security" where none is necessary to provide a utility with "adequate assurance of payment".

Id. At 650; See also Shirey v. Philadelphia Elec. Co. (In re Shirey), 25 B.R. 247, 249 (Bankr. E.D. Pa 1982) ("[S]ection 366(b).... Does not permit a utility to request adequate assurance of payment for continued services unless there has been a default by the debtor on a pre-petition debt owed for services rendered.")

Under the recently enacted section 366(c) of the bankruptcy Code, however, in a chapter 11 case, a utility company may alter, refuse, or discontinue utility service if within 30 days after commencement of the Chapter 11 case, the utility company does not received adequate assurance in a form "satisfactory" to the utility company, subject to the Court's ability to modify the amount of adequate assurance of payment. Furthermore, under section 366(c), in making a determination of whether an assurance of payment is adequate, the court may not consider (i) the absence of security before the petition date, (ii) the debtor's history of timely payments, or (iii) the availability of an administrative expense priority.

Case 11-11249-bam Doc 25 Entered 02/24/11 12:47:35 Page 9 of 13

LARSON & STEPHENS 810 S. Casino Center Blvd., Suite 104 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Tel: (702) 382-1170 Fax: (702) 382-1169

adequate assurance, a bankruptcy court is not required to give a utility company the equivalent of a guaranty of payment, but must only determine that the utility is not subject to an unreasonable risk of nonpayment for post-petition services." <u>Id</u>. At 80. The essence of the Court's inquiry is an "examination of the totality of the circumstances [in making] an informed judgment as to whether . . . utilities [will] be subject to an unreasonably risk" of nonpayment. <u>Id</u>. At 82-83.

The Debtors seek to mitigate the Utilities' risk of non-payment, while maintaining adequate capital to operate his business during Chapter 11 by establishing the Utility Deposit Account. Under the circumstances of this case, the Debtors believe that the establishment of a substantial cash reserve in the form of the Utility Deposit Account, relative to the Debtors' estimated monthly consumption, constitutes adequate assurance of payment within the meaning of section 366(c) of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors propose to protect the Utilities further by establishing a reasonable procedure for them to request additional adequate assurance of payment. Separate negotiations with each of the Utilities would be time-consuming and unnecessarily divert the Debtors from other critical tasks related to the operation of their business and the restructuring. If the Debtors fail to reach an early agreement with each Utility, they would have to file motions seeking expedited determination as to adequate assurance or risk service termination.

The proposed Procedures therefore preserve the status quo and ensure continued utility services, while providing a prompt forum for the resolution of any dispute as to adequate assurance. Section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code authorizes the Bankruptcy Court to enter "any order. . .that is necessary or appropriate to carry out the provisions of this title". 11 USC §105(a). Because the proposed Procedures protect the Debtor without materially prejudicing the Utilities, they carry out the provisions of section 366 and therefore are appropriate under section 105(a). Similar relief has been granted in other Chapter 11 reorganization cases since the

Doc 25

Entered 02/24/11 12:47:35 Page 10 of 13

Case 11-11249-bam

810 S. Casino Center Blvd., Suite 104

LARSON & STEPHENS

	1	Property #5
	2	Land in Luna, NM (Unit 9 Block 4 Lot 27)
	3	
	4	<u>Utility Services Provided to Property:</u>
	5	None at this time.
	6	Property #6 Land in Luna, NM
	7	(Unit 9 Block 4 Lot 12)
	8	Utility Services Provided to Property:
	9	None at this time.
	10	
	11	Property #7 4480 Spring Mountain Rd.
er Blvd., Suite 104 evada 89101 Fax: (702) 382-1169	12	Las Vegas, NV
	13	<u>Utility Services Provided to Property:</u>
llvd., 9 1a 891 :: (70	14	Nevada Energy
iter B Neva Fax	15	Republic Services Las Vegas Valley Water District
810 S. Casino Center Blvd., Suite 104 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 i: (702) 382-1170 Fax: (702) 382-11	16	Clark County Water Reclamation
	17	CenturyLink Diversified Protection Systems, Inc.
810 S.	18	Property #8
} Tel	19	4949 Spring Mountain Rd. Las Vegas, NV
	20	
	21	<u>Utility Services Provided to Property:</u>
	22	Las Vegas Valley Water District Clark County Water Reclamation
	23	Nevada Energy
	24	Property #9
	25	11870/11842 Industrial Rd. (Lot 3) Las Vegas, NV
	26	
	27	<u>Utility Services Provided to Property:</u>
	20	None at this time.

LARSON & STEPHENS

Case 11-11249-bam Doc 25 Entered 02/24/11 12:47:35 Page 12 of 13

	Case 11-11249-bam Doc 25 Entered 02/24/11 12:47:35 Page 13 of 13
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Property #10 207 E. Van Buren Drive, AZ (APN 500-10-001-F) Utility Services Provided to Property: None at this time. Property #11 Land in Apache, AZ (APN 211-41-009-D-6) Utility Services Provided to Property: None at this time. Property #12 Land in Coconino, AZ (APN 406-64-2-002-C-7) Utility Services Provided to Property: None at this time. Property #13 Land in Millard County, UT (A/C #149246; APN 4D-4613-4) Utility Services Provided to Property: None at this time.
28	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27